RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

7030 OCT 31 A 11: 40

October 30, 2008

## Via Federal Express

Office of General Counsel Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, N.W. Washington D.C. 20463

MUR # 6/25

Re:

Tom McClintock For Congress

4th District of Calflornia

Formal Complaint for Violation of Federal Election Regulation

11 C.F.R. 8 110.11

No Disclaimer on Tom McClintock's Automated Calls

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter serves as my formal complaint to the Federal Election Commission regarding the violation of Federal Laws by Tom McClintock, and the "McClintock for Congress" campaign for the 4th District of California congressional seat. On October 22, 2008, I received an automated phone call from Tom McClintock, the Republican Party nominee for the 4th District of California congressional seat. The automated phone call was from Tom McClintock and the campaign of "McClintock for Congress," and advocated his election to the 4th District of California congressional seat. Additionally, the Tom McClintock/"McClintock for Congress" automated phone call advocated the defeat of Charlie Brown, the Democratic Party nominee for the 4th District of California congressional seat. The call from Tom McClintock/"McClintock for Congress" did not have a disclaimer, and therefore is a violation of Federal Law.

Office of General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
Formal Complaint Regarding
Violation of Election Law
Page 2 of 6

In addition to the automated phone call I received, I know of at least two other persons who received an illegal automated call from Tom McClintock/"McClintock for Congress." Those persons are (1) Mr. Hank Raymond, a United States citizen and resident of South Lake Tahoe, California; and (2) Mr. Alan Shuttleworth, a United States citizen and resident of Colfax, California. The facts surrounding the illegal automated phone calls from Tom McClintock/"McClintock for Congress" received by Mssrs.

Raymond and Shuttleworth were recounted directly to me and they have provided recordings of said illegal automated calls.

#### **FACTS**

a. Tom McClintock and "McClintock for Congress" Made An Illegal

Automated Call to Todd Stenhouse on October 22, 2008

On October 22 2008, an automated phone call from Tom McClintock/"McClintock for Congress" was received by the offices of "Charlie Brown for Congress." I am the campaign manager for the "Charlie Brown for Congress" campaign, and I listened to the illegal automated phone call. The automated phone call was from Tom McClintock and the campaign of "McClintock for Congress," and advocated his election to the 4th District of California congressional seat. Additionally, the Tom McClintock/"McClintock for Congress" automated phone call advocated the defeat of Charlie Brown, the Democratic

Office of General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
Formal Complaint Regarding
Violation of Election Law
Page 3 of 6

Party nominee for the 4th District of California congressional seat.

The automated phone call from Tom McClintock/"McClintock For Congress" did

not have a disclaimer. The call was recorded by my answering machine, and I have

enclosed a full and complete copy of the automated call with this Complaint.

b. Tom McClintock and "McClintock for Congress" Made An Illegal

Automated Call to Hank Raymond on October 22, 2008

On October 22, 2008, at 5:59 p.m., Tom McClintock and "McClintock for Congress" campaign made the same automated phone call to Hank Raymond. Mr.

Raymond's address is

South Lake Tahoe, CA, 96150, and his telephone number is

The automated phone call was from Tom

McClintock and the campaign of "McClintock for Congress," and advocated his election to the 4th District of California congressional seat. Additionally, the Tom

McClintock/"McClintock for Congress" automated phone call advocated the defeat of Charlie Brown, the Democratic Party nominee for the 4th District of California congressional seat.

The automated phone call from Tom McClintock/"McClintock For Congress" did not have a disclaimer. The call was recorded by Mr. Raymond's answering machine, and I have enclosed a full and complete copy of the automated call with this Complaint.

Office of General Counsel Federal Election Commission Formal Complaint Regarding Violation of Election Law Page 4 of 6

# c. Tom McClintock and "McClintock for Congress" Made An Illegal Automated Call to Alan Shuttleworth on October 22, 2008

On October 22, 2008, Tom McClintock and "McClintock for Congress" campaign made the same automated phone call to Alan Shuttleworth. Mr. Shuttleworth's address is Colfax, California 95678, and his telephone number is The automated phone call was from Tom McClintock and the campaign of "McClintock for Congress," and advocated his election to the 4th District of California congressional seat. Additionally, the Tom McClintock/"McClintock for Congress" automated phone call advocated the defeat of Charlie Brown, the Democratic Party nominee for the 4th District of California congressional seat.

The automated phone call from Tom McClintock/"McClintock For Congress" did not have a disclaimer. The call was recorded by Mr. Shuttleworth's answering machine, and I have enclosed a full and complete copy of the automated call with this Complaint.

#### Relevant Law

Federal Election Commission regulation 11 C.F.R. § 110.11 governs communications, advertising, and <u>disclaimers</u>. The regulation is clear and unequivocal:

All public communications must include disclaimers.

As defined in Federal Election Commission regulation 11 C.F.R. § 110.11, a

Office of General Counsel Federal Election Commission Formal Complaint Regarding Violation of Election Law Page 5 of 6

disclaimer must be presented in a clear and conspicuous manner, to give the reader, observer, or listener adequate notice of the identity of the person or political committee that paid for, and where required, that authorized the communication.

The October 22, 2008 automated calls received by Mr. Raymond, Mr.

Shuttleworth and me did not contain any disclaimer. Thus, the October 22, 2008 automated calls sent by Tom McClintock and "McClintock for Congress" violated Federal Election Commission regulation 11 C.F.R. § 110.11.

3. Identification of Each Person, Committee or Group Who Has Committed a

Violation

As Tom McClintock identifies himself on the automated call, it is clear that Tom McClintock has committed a violation of Federal Election Commission regulation 11 C.F.R. § 110.11. Based on information and belief, the committee named "McClintock for Congress," joined in the commission of the violation of Federal Election Commission regulation 11 C.F.R. § 110.11.

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the facts and arguments above, it is clear that Tom McClintock and the "McClintock for Congress" campaign has made at least three illegal automated calls. The Federal Election Commission ("FEC") must prosecute Tom McClintock and the

Office of General Counsel Federal Election Commission Formal Complaint Regarding Violation of Election Law Page 6 of 6

"McClintock for Congress" campaign for their flagrant violations of Federal Election law. The FEC should also investigate Tom McClintock and the "McClintock for Congress" campaign for additional violations. The FEC's actions are necessary both to enforce Federal Election law, and prevent and deter future offenses by any person who pays for or authorizes the use of illegal automated phone calls.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.

Dated:

October 30, 2008

TODD STENHOUSE

Campaign Manager

Charlie Brown for Congress

Nebenjation page attacked offner

# CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION CERTIFICATE OF ACKNOWLEDGMENT COMMISSION OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

	CONIGER
State of California	2003 OCT 31 A 11: 4
County of PLACER	Your Col 21 Col 2
On 10-30-08 before me,	LUWATOYIN JAMES (Here insent name and title of the officer)
personally appeared TODD	Arrest series and arrest to an arrest.
the within instrument and acknowledged to	evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized are(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of ament.
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY und is true and correct.	der the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph
WITNESS my hand and official seal.  Signature of Notaty, Public	OLIVINATOVIN JAMES COMM. \$ 1730001
ADDITIONA	L OPTIONAL INFORMATION
DESCRIPTION OF THE ATTACHED DOCUMEN	properly completed and attached to that document. The only exception is if a document is to be recorded outside of California, in such instances, any alformative
(Title or description of stached document)  (Title or description of stached document continued)	<ul> <li>acting violations are supplied in such a document of long as the verbiage does not require the natury to do something that is illegal for a natury in Cultivata (i.e. carefying the authorized capacity of the signic). Pione check the document carefully for proper natural vending and attack this form if required.</li> </ul>
Number of Pages Document Date	State and County information must be the State and County where the document signer(a) personally appeared before the noticy public for acknowledgment. Date of notarization must be the date that the signer(a) personally appeared which must also be the same date the acknowledgment is completed.
(Additional information)	The notary public must print file or her name as it appears within his or her commission followed by a comma and then your title (notary public).  Print the name(s) of document signer(s) who personally appear at the time of appearation.
CAPACITY CLAIMED BY THE SIGNER  Individual (s) Corporate Officer	<ul> <li>Indicate the correct singular or plural forms by crossing off incorrect forms (i.e. harshe/bay is /are ) or circling the correct forms. Failure to correctly indicate this information may lead to rejection of document recording.</li> <li>The robary seal impression must be clear and photographically reproducible, horizontain must not cover text or lines, if seal impression smudges, re-east if a</li> </ul>
(Title) Partner(s) Attorney-in-Fact Trustoc(s)	sufficient area permits, etherwise complete a different acknowledgment form.  Signature of the notary public suset match the signature on file with the office of the county clork.  Additional information is not required but could help to ensure this acknowledgment is not missed or attached to a different document.
	Indicate title or type of attached document, number of pages and date.